

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/634,743	08/04/2003	Naoki Yamamoto	TECH-002	6614	
24353	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
	C, FIELD & FRANCI RSITY AVENUE	STUCKER, JEFFREY J			
SUITE 200	ROTT AVEIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EAST PALO	ALTO, CA 94303	1648			

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	10/634,743		YAMAMOTO ET AL.				
		E	xaminer		Art Unit				
		Je	effrey Stucker		1648				
The N Period for Reply	TAILING DATE of this commun	nication appear	rs on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	IED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE N me may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this come reply is specified above, the maximum si within the set or extended period for reply red by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS C). In no event, ho upply and will expinate the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time to SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 Marc</i>	ch 2006.						
•		2b)⊠ This ac		nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the									
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	Claims								
4) Claim(s	s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 12-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(
6)⊠ Claim(s									
7) Claim(
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or el	ection requir	ement.					
Application Pap	ers								
9)⊠ The spe	ecification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) The dra	wing(s) filed on <u>8/4/03</u> is/are	: a) accepto	ed or b)□ o	bjected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if	he drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ The oat	h or declaration is objected t	o by the Exam	niner. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
· —	rledgment is made of a claim b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	for foreign pri	ority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	Certified copies of the priority								
	Certified copies of the priority			• •		_			
	Copies of the certified copies	, ,			d in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation				يا.				
. See the	attached detailed Office action	on for a list of t	ine cerimea (copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)	reness Cited (DTO 200)		, r	7 1-1	(PTO 442)				
	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	_	」Interview Summary □ Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		·	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/634,743

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 7-11 in the reply filed on 3/7/06 is acknowledged.

The specification is objected to for the following informalities:

At page 6, line 71, a character is missing from "IFN- \square " Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

are rejected under 35 U.S.C. Claims 7-11 112, paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for, HIV-1 activity, CD4 cells primed with DC, and R5 suppression, does not reasonably provide enablement for anticells only exposed to activity, CD4 HIV, and HIV-2 suppression. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,743

Art Unit: 1648

"[T]o be enabling, the specification of a patent must teach those skilled in the art how to make and use the full scope of the claimed invention without 'undue experimentation.'"

Genentech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk 108 F.3d 1361, 1365, 42 USPQ2d 1001, 1004 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Wright 999 F.2d 1557, 1561, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993); See also Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharm. Co., 927 F.2d 1200, 1212, 18 USPQ2d 1016, 1026 (Fed. Cir. 1991); In re Fisher 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). Further, in In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988) the court stated:

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized by the board in Ex parte Forman [230 USPQ 546, 547 (BdPatAppInt 1986)]. They include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or quidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the above factors, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. *In re Wright*, 999 F.2d 1557,1562, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,743

Art Unit: 1648

The specification does not provide support for "HIV" infection suppression which includes both HIV-1 and HIV-2. The specification only provides a specific disclosure of HIV-1 suppression. There is no evidence that the specification enables a suppression factor that is effective against "HIV".

The instant disclosure teaches that CD4 cells need to be primed with HIV-1-pulsed DC to produce the suppression factor. The specification, at page 12, characterizes this as "essential":

"Because the human CD4+ T cells in the DC-OVA immunized mice did not produce the suppression factor, this suggests that the priming of HIV-1-reactive, naive CD4+ T cells by sufficient numbers of HIV-1-pulsed DC is essential for the factor production in vivo." [emphasis added]

Therefore, the specification does not provide support for CD4 cells only exposed to HIV but requires HIV-1 pulsed DC.

The specification does not provide support for HIV infection suppression or HIV X4 suppression. As noted by the specification at line 9 of page 11 of the specification teaches that the factor is not effective against X4 tropism.

The instant invention, based on the evidence as a whole, in light of the factors articulated by the court in *In re Wands*, lacks an enabling disclosure.

Art Unit: 1648

The claimed invention is apparently free of the art of record.

No claims are allowed.

Papers related this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

The Group 1600 Official Fax number is: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center representative whose telephone number is (571)-272-1600.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Stucker whose telephone number is (571)-272-0911. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:00am-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571)-272-0974.

JEFFREY STUCKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER